

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 129 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

RAJIV YASHODHAR MEHTA

Versus

GAUTAM MUKHERJEE

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 129 of 2000
MR MB GANDHI for Petitioner No. 1-4
MR UNMESH D SHUKLA for Respondent No. 1
DELETED for Respondent No. 2
-

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 08/03/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Since this revision application is filed against
an interlocutory order, by which the trial Court has

rejected the application submitted under Section 10 of C.P.C. this revision is not maintainable and, therefore, Mr.Gandhi for the petitioner wants to withdraw this Civil Revision Application in order to file a Special Civil Application. Permission as prayed for is granted. This Civil Revision Application is disposed of as having been withdrawn. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

2) Proceedings pending before the trial Court, which were earlier stayed by this Court at the time of entering in this Civil Revision Application, are ordered to be stayed further till 15th April, 2004, in order to enable the petitioner to take appropriate recourse in accordance with law.

(P.B.Majmudar, J.)

/malek